July 26, 2019

Massachusetts Department of Energy Resources Attn: John Wassam 100 Cambridge Street, Suite 1020 Boston, MA 02114

--- Submitted electronically via doer.rps@mass.gov ---

# Re: RPS Class I and RPS Class II Rulemaking - 225 CMR 14.00 and 225 CMR 15.00 - Joint Stakeholder Comments

Dear Mr. Wassam:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide additional comments regarding the proposed changes to Massachusetts' Renewable Portfolio Standard ("RPS") Class I and RPS Class II Regulations. The 100 undersigned entities represent citizens of this Commonwealth, cities, towns, business owners, faith communities, advocates for energy, the environment, environmental justice, public health and health care, and more. All are dedicated to helping Massachusetts lead the nation on clean, renewable energy growth and climate action. This rulemaking was prompted by passage last summer of legislation to increase the RPS by 2% per year beginning in 2020. At times, the proposal has been characterized as minor technical corrections aimed at cleaning up and clarifying the standard. However, what has been proposed by the Department of Energy Resources ("DOER") is far more than modest adjustments. The changes proposed to the RPS would weaken the very important state energy standard that heretofore has been an essential driver of the development of clean renewable energy resources.

We offer these comments for further consideration as the administration moves forward with this rulemaking.

Climate change is occurring at an accelerated rate. Increasingly, its impacts are being felt in communities and across all sectors of the Commonwealth. Decarbonization of the electric sector is the most cost-effective way to achieve deep greenhouse gas ("GHG") emission reductions required to comply with mandates set by the Global Warming Solutions Act ("GWSA"), let alone to combat climate change. Accordingly, Massachusetts' RPS—one of the longest-standing, most science-based standards in the nation—has not only been an essential driver of clean energy development in the state and the region, but it is also a critically important component of the Commonwealth's GWSA compliance strategy. Now is not the time to ease its stringency. And yet, that is what has been put forth by DOER as part of this rulemaking.

Most disconcerting are DOER's proposals for substantially weakening biomass eligibility requirements and increasing subsidies for garbage incineration. As a state, we simply cannot burn our way to climate compliance. Burning woody biomass and incinerating trash for electricity without adequate lifecycle standards results in GHG emissions that undercut climate gains. These technologies also cause harmful local air pollution that compromises public health. The health burdens imposed are especially harmful for the Commonwealth's most vulnerable residents: children, the elderly, and communities already overburdened with pollution.

#### **BIOMASS**

The proposed biomass changes will gut climate protections and put public health at risk. DOER is proposing to reduce or completely eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS rules — the first in the nation and the world to recognize that burning wood for energy increases greenhouse gas emissions.

These science-based rules were developed through a comprehensive process undertaken in the wake of public outcry over three large wood-burning power plants that were proposed in western Massachusetts. DOER's proposed rollback lacks scientific integrity, transparency, and adequate public process. For example, DOER proposes the elimination of efficiency criteria for biomass power plants that burn significantly expanded categories of "salvage" wood and "residues". Both the efficiency requirement cuts and the expanded category definitions will result in increased emissions. DOER also proposes to eliminate Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria, which are critical to ensuring actual biosequestration for the qualifying biomass. DOER's calculations further grossly underestimate lifecycle CO2 emissions from bioenergy by neglecting to also account for fossil fuels burned during harvesting, processing, and transport of biomass. DOER's biomass proposal incentivizes logging and burning trees for electricity without any justification based in environmental or climate science. This will increase CO2 emissions and decrease carbon sequestration. These changes will allow polluting and inefficient wood-burning biomass power plants in Maine, New Hampshire and elsewhere that are currently ineligible for the MA RPS to receive tens of millions of dollars in renewable energy credits (RECs), and will incentivize new biomass plants to be constructed in MA and beyond.

# TRASH INCINERATION (RPS Class II)

In the RPS Class II waste-to-energy section, DOER proposes increasing the amount of energy our utilities must purchase from qualifying facilities from 3.5% to 3.7% for 2019 through 2025. DOER also proposes increasing the RPS Class II waste-to-energy rate to align with the RPS Class II Renewable Energy alternative compliance rate, effective this year. In this category, where no new facilities are coming on line, increasing these two figures is simply an action that directs more money to existing generators without any benefit to the people of Massachusetts. Burning solid waste is highly polluting and already produces 750,000 tons of incinerator ash containing heavy metals, furans, and dioxin each year, which must be disposed of in landfills. For those forced to live in close proximity to these facilities, the effects are even more dire. Studies show residents near incinerators suffer increased rates of disease and shorter life expectancy. The RPS should not be adjusted to prop up and extend the operation of aging incineration facilities, nor should it be used to facilitate the development of new trash-burning plants, at the expense of the health and lives of residents of the Commonwealth.

Proposed changes to requirements for hydroelectricity, solar energy, and imported energy are also problematic.

# HYDROELECTRIC GENERATORS

The stringency of RPS requirements currently induces development of and investment in facilities that are as clean as possible. In the case of hydroelectricity, the RPS encourages facilities that have the least environmental impact possible. DOER, however, proposes to remove a requirement for recertification from the Low Impact Hydropower Institute ("LIHI"). Currently, an RPS-eligible hydropower facility must meet rigorous standards for river flows, water quality, fish passage and protection, watershed protection, threatened and endangered species protection, cultural resource protection, and recreation. Rivers are dynamic ecological systems, and the recertification process as currently required ensures that negative environmental impacts continue to be minimized in a manner consistent with the Green Communities Act ("GCA"). Watering down and removing the requirement for recertification from LIHI would undermine a project operator's motivation to improve their systems and minimize their environmental impact over time. A qualified project would effectively receive a lifetime qualification regardless of any environmental changes or technological advances that would prompt updated conditions to protect river systems.

## **CHANGES TO THE SREC-1 PROGRAM**

The SREC-I program played an important role in accelerating the early deployment of solar energy in Massachusetts, making the Commonwealth a national leader for solar. Under the original regulations for

SREC-I, qualifying projects are eligible to generate SRECs until the end of the program in 2023, although they are only able to participate in clearinghouse auctions (a form of price support) for 10 years. The proposed changes, by limiting overall SREC eligibility to 10 years, would reduce the amount of time that these projects are eligible to generate SRECs by at least one quarter and up to 4 years. Although these changes would not affect any new solar projects that are built today, we are concerned that changing the rules this late in the game for SREC-I would create uncertainty around future solar programs. This uncertainty could have the effect of slowing down solar development in Massachusetts, at a time when we should be accelerating our clean energy progress.

## **IMPORTED ENERGY**

DOER has proposed to remove the requirement that energy generated outside of New England be imported under a contract and delivered into New England in order to be eligible to create a Renewable Energy Certificate ("REC") used to demonstrate compliance with the MA RPS. The proposed change goes against rules that have been in effect for over 15 years and are set in Massachusetts RPS statute. This change threatens the integrity of the REC market and opens the door for sham energy trades to generate RECs that could destabilize the market, causing a lack of trust and making it harder for renewable energy projects to grow within the region. The removal of this requirement should be rejected.

In closing, the proposed changes to the RPS regulations are not mere technical corrections aimed at "cleaning up" the RPS. Instead, DOER has proposed overhauling the state's RPS, gutting the very components that have distinguished it from other states and made it such an effective driver of renewable energy development for nearly two decades. In driving project development, the RPS has helped to establish a stable, reliable market for clean energy resources. This should continue. Moreover, since this rulemaking has been undertaken in response to legislation increasing the annual RPS compliance requirements and given the urgency of climate change and the imperative that Massachusetts transition away from GHG-emitting generation as quickly as possible, DOER should be exploring ways to increase the stringency of the RPS to bolster the deployment of non-emitting resources, rather than facilitating the deployment of technologies that further burden public health and undermine climate gains. Toward that end, we respectfully request that changes proposed that will weaken the RPS, as discussed in this letter, be withdrawn.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

#### Sincerely,

Town of Cummington Planning Board

Town of Gill Selectboard

Town of Pelham Planning Board 350 Mass for a Better Future

350 Massachusetts Allston-Brighton

350ma-berkshires

Acadia Center

Arise for Social Justice

Arlington Mothers Out Front

Berkshire Environmental Action Team (BEAT)

Black Earth Compost, LLC

**Boston Catholic Climate Movement** 

Buckland, MA Board of Health

Clean Power & Light, LLC

Clean Water Action

Climate Action Brookline

Climate Action Now, Western Mass Climate Coalition of Somerville

Climate XChange

Cohasset Residents for Climate Action Concerned Citizens of Franklin County

**Environment Massachusetts** 

Environmental League of Massachusetts

Fossil Free Somerville

Franklin Co Continuing the Political Revolution

Friends of the Earth US

Greater Boston Physicians for Social

Responsibility

Green Energy Consumers Alliance

Green Newton

Greenfield Community Acupuncture

Greening Greenfield

Greenvironment, LLC Health Care Without Harm

HealthLink

Jewish Climate Action Network - MA John Muir Project of Earth Island Institute

Last Tree Laws

League of Women Voters of Massachusetts Longmeadow Pipeline Awareness Group

Mass Audubon

Mass Forest Rescue Campaign

Massachusetts Climate Action Network

Massachusetts Forest Rescue

Massachusetts Interfaith Power & Light Massachusetts PipeLine Awareness Network

Massachusetts Rivers Alliance Massachusetts Sierra Club

Metropolitan Area Planning Council

Mothers Out Front

Mothers Out Front Somerville Nashoba Conservation Trust

Food & Water Watch No Fracked Gas in Mass No Norfolk, MA Gas Pipeline

No Sharon Gas Pipeline | Clean Energy Now North American Climate, Conservation and

Environment(NACCE)

North Parish UU Climate Justice, North

Andover

North Quabbin Energy

Partnership for Policy Integrity Quincy Climate Action Network RESTORE: The North Woods South Coast Neighbors United

Springfield Climate Justice Coalition Springfield Community-Based Doulas

**StopNED** 

Sustainable Middleborough Sustainable Sharon Coalition

Sustainable Stow Sustainable Wellesley The Enviro Show

The Rachel Carson Council

The Trustees

**Toxics Action Center** 

Union of Concerned Scientists Unitarian Universalist Mass Action

Watertown Citizens for Peace, Justice and the

Environment

Watertown Rethinks Consumption Wendell State Forest Alliance

Williamstown COOL (CO2 Lowering)

Committee

Woods Hole Research Center

Nathanael Fortune, Professor of Physics, Smith College (for identification purposes only)

Alan Papscun

Alexander Scarlis

Angela Wilcox,

Brian Hebeisen

David Lescohier, TMM, Brookline

Dr. Erdmute W. White

Fran Fortino, Whately, MA

Jodi Rodar

Judith J. Wagner voter and taxpayer

Ken & Ethel Kipen, Ashfield MA

Myrian Melo

Nicole Gardner

Pat Svetaka

Yolanda Hatzidis

Yurij Lojko, Owner of Lojko & Co.